Indolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines. Syntheses, Steroid Hormone Receptor Binding Affinities, and Cytostatic Activity

Reinhard Ambros, Martin R. Schneider, and Silvia von Angerer*

Institut für Pharmazie, Universität Regensburg, D-8400 Regensburg, Federal Republic of Germany. Received July 5, 1988

A number of acetoxy-substituted 5,6-dihydroindolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines were synthesized and tested for binding affinity for steroid hormone receptors. All of the derivatives bind to the estrogen receptor with RBA values ranging from 1.5 to 17 (17β-estradiol = 100). Some of them show binding affinities for the androgen receptor as well. In the mouse uterine weight test, the tetracycles proved to be weak estrogens with partial antagonistic activity. All of the compounds were tested in vitro for cytostatic activity with hormone-independent MDA-MB 231 and hormone-dependent MCF-7 breast cancer cells. A cytostatic effect was found in both cell lines. The comparison of results exhibited a stronger inhibitory effect on MCF-7 cells only for compounds with high binding affinity for the estrogen receptor. For those derivatives, it can be assumed that the growth inhibition is partly mediated by the estrogen receptor.

The aim of our investigations is the development of new compounds for the treatment of hormone-dependent carcinomas like mammary and prostatic cancer. Since a large fraction of these steroid hormone receptor positive tumors does not respond to antihormonal treatment or has become resistant to it, new agents are needed. In earlier studies,1 we found that the tetracyclic indoloisoquinoline structure is suitable for the development of drugs binding to the estrogen receptor. The molecular structure is somewhat similar to that of steroidal and nonsteroidal estrogens and might possess the ability to intercalate into DNA.² From previous structure–activity studies,³ we knew that cytostatic activity is associated with the presence of a single acetoxy group in the benzene ring of the indole, whereas the isoquinoline can be substituted with one or two oxygen functions. Studies on 2-phenylindoles have shown that short alkyl chains in position 1 and 3 of the indole improve the receptor affinity.4 On the basis of these considerations, we have synthesized a number of acetoxy-substituted 5,6-dihydroindolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines with alkyl substituents in position 5 and 6 (Chart I) and determined their binding affinities for steroid hormone receptors, their endocrine activity, and their cytostatic effect on hormone-independent MDA-MB 231 and hormonedependent MCF-7 mammary tumor cells.

Chemistry

The substituted 2-phenylethylamines 1 required for the syntheses of the indolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines 5 were obtained by modification of a method described previously:⁵ nitromethane, nitroethane, or nitropropane was condensed with the respective methoxy-substituted benzaldehyde followed by reduction with LiAlH₄. 1-Amino-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)butane (1d) was synthesized by ethylation of 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-nitroethane with EtI and 2 equiv of n-BuLi and subsequent reduction of the nitro group.

The 2-phenylethylamines la-f were reacted with the methyl bromophenylacetates 2a-c, which have been described previously, to afford the amides 3a-l (Scheme I, Table I). Cyclization to the 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines was accomplished by a modified Bischler-Napieralski method

Chart I

 $x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3} = H, \text{ OCOCH}_{3}$ $x^{4} = H, C_{2}H_{5}$ $x^{5} = H, CH_{3}, C_{2}H_{5}$ $x^{6} = H, CH_{3}$

with $POCl_3$ in MeCN. The crude products were treated with $NaBH_4$ to give the 1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroiso-quinolines 4a-1 (Table II), which were converted to the tetracyclic indolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines 5a-1 (Table III) by treatment with NaH in DMSO. The latter reaction must involve a benzyne intermediate⁶ because both compounds 2a and 2b led to the same structure. The reaction mixture contained the 5,6,12,12a-tetrahydroindoloisoquinolines as byproducts and the 5,6-dihydro compounds 5a-1, which were separated by column chromatography.

In previous studies we found that a formyl group in position 12 of the tetracycle leads to an additional cytostatic effect in vitro and in vivo.¹ Therefore, this functional group was introduced into 5b by a Vilsmeier–Haack reaction to give 6 (Scheme II). The methoxy groups in 5a–l and 6 were cleaved by BBr₃. The resulting phenols were converted into the acetates 7a–1 (Table IV) and 8, because the free hydroxy derivatives undergo autoxidation when exposed to air. Preliminary experiments have shown that there is no major difference in binding affinities between the hydroxy compounds and their acetates in this series (data not shown). Compound 7c was oxidized to the aromatic indolo[2,1-a]isoquinoline 9 with Pd/C.

For steric considerations of the interaction of the C-5-and C-6-substituted indoloisoquinolines with steroid hormone receptors, it is of interest whether the substituent is in an axial or equatorial position or whether there is a rapid interconversion of the two possible conformers. The latter possibility was ruled out by NMR studies showing no temperature-dependent alteration of the ¹H NMR spectrum of **5b-d** up to 140 °C. Analysis of their ¹H NMR spectra with respect to the coupling constants for the ABX pattern of the protons at C-5 and C-6 shows that an axial orientation of the substituent is likely. The values for $J_{\rm AX}$ (6 Hz) and $J_{\rm BX}$ (2 Hz) indicate dihedral angles of ap-

⁽¹⁾ Ambros, R.; von Angerer, S.; Wiegrebe, W. Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim, FRG) 1988, 321, 743.

⁽²⁾ LePecq, J. B.; Nguyen-Dat-Xuong; Gosse, C.; Paoletti, C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1974, 71, 5078.

⁽³⁾ Ambros, R.; von Angerer, S.; Wiegrebe, W. Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim, FRG) 1988, 321, 481.

⁽⁴⁾ von Angerer, E.; Prekajac, J.; Strohmeier, J. J. Med. Chem. 1984, 27, 1439.

⁽⁵⁾ Chinnasamy, P.; Iwasa, K.; von Angerer, S.; Weimar, C.; Wiegrebe, W. Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim, FRG) 1987, 320, 790.

⁽⁶⁾ Kano, S.; Komiyama, E.; Nawa, K.; Shibuya, S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1976, 24, 310.

Scheme I

| | R ¹ | \mathbb{R}^2 | R ² | R 4 | R ⁵ |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| <u>7 a</u> | Н | Н | OAc | Н | CH ₃ |
| 7 <u>a</u> 7 <u>b</u> 7 <u>c</u> 7 <u>d</u> | Н | H | OAC | H | C2H5 |
| 7c | H | OAc | H | Н | C ₂ H ₅ |
| 7 d | OAc | H | OAc | H | C ₂ H ₅ |
| <u>7e</u> 7₫ | Н | 5 | OAC | C 2 H 5 | Н |
| 7.5 | Н | H | OAc | Н | Н |
| 7 g | Н | OAc | H | H | Н |
| <u>7 h</u> | H | OAc | OAc | H | Н |
| 7i | OAc | Н | OAc | H | H |
| <u>7k</u> | OAc | OAc | Ĥ | H | Н |
| 71 | OAC | OAc | OAc | H | Н |

Scheme II

 $\textbf{Table I.} \ \ 2\text{-}(Methoxyphenyl)\text{-}N\text{-}[2\text{-}(methoxyphenyl)\text{ethyl}] acetamides \ 3$

| compd | \mathbb{R}^1 | \mathbb{R}^2 | \mathbb{R}^3 | R⁴ | \mathbb{R}^5 | % yield | formula ^a | mp,⁵ °C |
|------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------|
| 3a | Н | Н | OCH ₃ | H | CH ₃ | 46 | C ₁₉ H ₂₂ BrNO ₃ | 111-113 |
| 3b | H | H | OCH_3 | Н | $C_2\ddot{\mathbf{H}_5}$ | 52 | $C_{20}H_{24}BrNO_3$ | 102 |
| $3e^c$ | Н | OCH_3 | Н | H | C_2H_5 | 49 | $C_{20}H_{24}BrNO_3$ | 48 |
| 3 d | OCH_3 | Н | OCH_3 | H | C_2H_5 | 4 5 | $C_{21}H_{26}BrNO_4$ | 114 |
| 3e | Н | Н | OCH_3 | C_2H_5 | н | 55 | $C_{20}H_{24}BrNO_3$ | 74-75 |
| | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

^a Analyzed for C and H within $\pm 0.40\%$ of the calculated values, except where noted. ^bRecrystallization from EtOAc. ^cBr in the 3-position.

Table II. 1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines 4

| compd | R ¹ | \mathbb{R}^2 | R ³ | R ⁴ | R ⁵ | % yield | formula ^a |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|
| 4a ^b | Н | Н | OCH ₃ | Н | CH ₃ | 67 | $C_{19}H_{22}BrNO_2$ |
| 4b | H | H | OCH_3 | H | C_2H_5 | 75 | $C_{20}H_{24}BrNO_2$ |
| $4c^c$ | Н | OCH_3 | Н | H | C_2H_5 | 69 | $C_{20}H_{24}BrNO_2$ |
| 4 d | OCH_3 | H | OCH_3 | Н | C_2H_5 | 6 5 | $C_{21}H_{26}BrNO_3$ |
| 4e | Н | H | OCH_3 | C_2H_5 | н | 80 | $C_{20}H_{24}BrNO_2$ |

^a All compounds were obtained as oils, except 4a (mp 58 °C). ^bC: calcd, 60.65; found, 58.62. ^cBr in the 3-position.

Table III. 5,6-Dihydromethoxyindolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines 5

| compd | R ¹ | R ² | R ³ | R ⁴ | R ⁵ | % yield | formula ^a | mp, ^b °C |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|---|---------------------|
| 5a | Н | Н | OCH ₃ | Н | CH ₃ | 38 | C ₁₉ H ₁₉ NO ₂ | 165 |
| 5 b | H | H | OCH_3 | Н | C_2H_5 | 45 | $C_{20}H_{21}NO_2$ | 100 |
| 5c | H | OCH_3 | Н | H | C_2H_5 | 41 | $C_{20}H_{21}NO_2$ | 129 |
| 5 d | OCH_3 | H | OCH_3 | H | C_2H_5 | 40 | $C_{21}H_{23}NO_3$ | 132 |
| 5e | H | H | OCH_3 | C_2H_5 | н | 30 | $C_{20}H_{21}NO_{2}$ | 109 |
| 5 f | H | H | OCH_3 | н | H | 43 | $C_{18}^{20}H_{17}^{21}NO_2^2$ | 208 |
| 5 g | H | OCH_3 | Н | H | Н | 45 | $C_{18}H_{17}NO_2$ | 176 |
| 5 h | H | OCH_3 | OCH_3 | H | H | 40 | $C_{19}H_{19}NO_3$ | 212 |
| 5i | OCH_3 | Н | OCH_3 | H | H | 45 | $C_{19}H_{19}NO_3$ | 217 |
| 5k | OCH_3 | OCH_3 | H | H | H | 42 | $C_{19}H_{19}NO_3$ | 198 |
| 5 1 ^c | OCH_3 | OCH_3 | OCH_3 | Н | Н | 45 | $C_{20}H_{21}NO_4$ | 209 |

^a Analyzed for C and H within ±0.40% of the calculated values, except where noted. ^b Recrystallization from EtOH. ^c Reference 15.

Table IV. Acetoxy-5,6-dihydroindoliso[2,1-a]quinolines 7

| compd | \mathbb{R}^1 | \mathbb{R}^2 | \mathbb{R}^3 | R⁴ | ${ m R}^5$ | % yield | $formula^a$ | mp, °C |
|------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 7a. | Н | Н | OCOCH ₃ | Н | CH ₃ | 63 | $C_{21}H_{19}NO_4$ | 186-187 ^b |
| 7b | H | Н | OCOCH ₃ | H | C_2H_5 | 65 | $C_{22}H_{21}NO_4$ | 142^{b} |
| 7c | H | $OCOCH_3$ | H | H | C_2H_5 | 74 | $C_{22}H_{21}NO_4$ | $107-108^{b}$ |
| 7 d | $OCOCH_3$ | Н | $OCOCH_3$ | H | C_2H_5 | 52 | $C_{24}H_{23}NO_6$ | 141^{b} |
| $7e^d$ | H | H | $OCOCH_3$ | C_2H_5 | н | 83 | $C_{22}H_{21}NO_4$ | $158-159^{b}$ |
| 7 f | H | H | $OCOCH_3$ | Η̈́ | H | 75 | $C_{20}H_{17}NO_4$ | $197-198^{c}$ |
| 7g | H | $OCOCH_3$ | H | H | H | 80 | $C_{20}H_{17}NO_4$ | 176^{c} |
| 7ĥ | H | $OCOCH_3$ | $OCOCH_3$ | H | H | 61 | $C_{22}H_{19}NO_6$ | 182^{c} |
| 7i | $OCOCH_3$ | H | OCOCH ₃ | H | H | 63 | $C_{22}H_{19}NO_6$ | 183-184° |
| 7k | $OCOCH_3$ | $OCOCH_3$ | H | H | H | 60 | $C_{22}H_{19}NO_6$ | 170^{c} |
| 71° | $OCOCH_3$ | $OCOCH_3$ | $OCOCH_3$ | H | Н | 60 | $C_{24}H_{21}NO_8$ | 216^{c} |

^a Analyzed for C and H within ±0.40% of the calculated values, except where noted. ^bRecrystallization from MeOH. ^cRecrystallization from EtOH. dC: calcd, 72.71; found 71.84. Reference 15.

proximately 45° and 75°, which can be rationalized only by an axial position of the substituent.

Because of the chirality of C-6, the substituted compounds are racemic mixtures. The two enantiomers are expected to show different binding affinities for the receptors. Therefore, we separated the enantiomers for on example (7b) by HPLC using tribenzoylcellulose as chiral stationary phase and MeOH as eluent. Both enantiomers were obtained and characterized by their melting points.

Binding Affinities for Steroid Hormone Receptors

Because this study was primarily designed to develop agents for treating hormone-dependent mammary tumors, we determined the binding affinities of all compounds with

Table V. Relative Binding Affinities of Acetoxyindolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines for the Estrogen (ER), Androgen (AR), and Progesterone (PR) Receptor

| $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^a$ | AR^a | PR^a | |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| 12 | 0.20 | 0.01 | _ |
| 17 | 0.23 | 0.13 | |
| 4.0 | 2.5 | 0.05 | |
| 2.9 | < 0.01 | 0.04 | |
| 2.5 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | |
| 2.0 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | |
| 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.01 | |
| 0.01 | < 0.01 | $\mathbf{N}\mathbf{D}^{c}$ | |
| 0.15 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | |
| 0.05 | < 0.01 | ND | |
| < 0.01 | < 0.01 | ND | |
| 4.60 | 0.03 | < 0.01 | |
| 1.50 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | |
| | 12 17 4.0 2.9 2.5 2.0 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.05 <0.01 4.60 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

^a Relative binding affinities for calf uterine receptors = ratio of molar concentrations of unlabeled 17β -estradiol, testosterone or progesterone and inhibitor required to decrease the amount of the respective radioactive hormone (17\beta-estradiol, mibolerone, or Org 2058) by 50%, \times 100. The results represent the mean of two experiments each performed in triplicate. b(+)-7b and (-)-7b show identical RBA values for ER: 17. °ND = not determined.

acetoxy groups for the estrogen receptor (ER). The affinities were determined in a competitive binding assay by using [${}^{3}H$]17 β -estradiol as tracer and calf uterine cytosol as receptor source.4 The dextran-coated charcoal (DCC) method was used. The relative binding affinities (RBA) are given as the ratio of the molar concentrations of 17β estradiol and indoloisoquinoline required to decrease the receptor-bound radioactivity by 50%, multiplied by 100.

All of the indoloisoquinolines without alkyl substituents showed only weak affinities for the estrogen receptor except derivative 7f (RBA = 2.0), which contains acetoxy groups in position 3 and 10 (Table V). For further structural modifications, only derivatives with substituents leading to RBA values above 0.1 were used. Introduction of a methyl or ethyl group into position 5 or 6 increased the binding affinities considerably. The compound with greatest affinity (RBA = 17) was 7b, which contains oxygen functions at C-3 and C-10 and an ethyl group at C-6. Therefore, the binding affinities of the enantiomers of 7b were determined. Both isomers showed identical affinities for the estrogen receptor. The introduction of a formyl group into position 12 (8) decreased the binding affinity of 7b only slightly. The fully aromatic system (9) also had affinity for the estrogen receptor.

In order to get more insight in the biological properties of these new compounds, the binding affinities to the androgen (AR) and progesterone receptors (PR) were determined. As a matter of convenience, calf uterine cytosol was used for these assays, since it has been demonstrated that uteri contain similar amounts of AR as prostates.8 For the determination of the androgen receptor, the progesterone receptor was masked by triamcinolone acetonide,9 and sodium molybdate10 was added to stabilize the androgen receptor. Because of the metabolic instability of testosterone, [3H]mibolerone was used as radioligand. In the progesterone receptor assay the synthetic progestin [3H]Org 2058 was used as radioactive tracer.

Usually, nonsteroidal compounds binding to the ER show little affinity for PR7,11 or AR.7,12 Thus, it was not

Steroid Biochem. 1984, 21, 353.

Weight Test

5,6-Dihydroindolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines in the Mouse Uterine

Table VI. Estrogenic and Antiestrogenic Activity of

| | uterot | rophic test | ant | iuterotrophic | test |
|-----------------|-----------|---|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | $dose,^a$ | | dose,a,c | | % |
| compd | μg | $\mathbf{e} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{t}^b$ | $\mu \mathbf{g}$ | effect^b | inhibn ^d |
| control | | 21.9 ± 2.7 | | 21.9 ± 2.7 | |
| 7a | 2.0 | 21.2 ± 4.1 | 2.0 | 52.6 ± 5.0 | -8.0 |
| | 10.0 | 26.3 ± 3.5 | 10.0 | 56.0 ± 3.4 | -21.0 |
| | 50.0 | 53.3 ± 5.5 | 50.0 | 61.2 ± 7.9 | -39.0 |
| | 250.0 | 72.2 ± 7.3 | 250.0 | 61.1 ± 11.3 | -39.0 |
| estrone | 0.4 | 50.2 ± 3.1 | 0.4 | 50.2 ± 3.1 | |
| control | | 14.7 ± 2.7 | | 17.1 ± 2.6 | |
| 7 b | 2.0 | 18.5 ± 2.9 | 2.0 | 52.3 ± 8.9 | 7.2 |
| | 10.0 | 25.5 ± 5.9 | 10.0 | 51.0 ± 6.7 | 12.2 |
| | 50.0 | 35.8 ± 6.0 | 50.0 | 45.7 ± 3.8 | 25.9^{f} |
| | 250.0 | 53.4 ± 3.4 | 125.0 | 39.5 ± 5.1 | 42.0^{f} |
| | | | 250.0 | 42.6 ± 5.1 | 34.1^{f} |
| estron e | 0.4 | 53.4 ± 3.3 | 0.4 | 55.8 ± 5.6 | |
| control | | 15.5 ± 1.9 | | 15.5 ± 1.9 | |
| 7c | 2.0 | 11.5 ± 1.6 | 2.0 | 49.6 ± 4.5 | 2.8 |
| | 10.0 | 15.7 ± 1.7 | 10.0 | 44.5 ± 5.4 | 17.4^{e} |
| | 50.0 | 18.5 ± 1.5 | 50.0 | 39.9 ± 5.7 | 31.0^{f} |
| | 250.0 | 40.5 ± 3.6 | 250.0 | 48.2 ± 5.4 | 6.8 |
| estrone | 0.4 | 50.6 ± 5.7 | 0.4 | 50.6 ± 5.7 | |
| control | | 17.2 ± 2.6 | | 17.2 ± 2.6 | |
| 7d | 2.0 | 21.2 ± 3.4 | 2.0 | 51.3 ± 7.0 | 11.7 |
| | 40.0 | 42.8 ± 6.9 | 40.0 | 49.7 ± 5.6 | 15.7 |
| | 125.0 | 55.8 ± 4.2 | 125.0 | 58.1 ± 3.9 | |
| estrone | 0.4 | 55.8 ± 5.6 | 0.4 | 55.8 ± 5.6 | |
| control | | 14.7 ± 2.7 | | 15.5 ± 1.9 | |
| 7e | 2.0 | 16.8 ± 3.2 | 2.0 | 50.9 ± 4.4 | |
| | 10.0 | 21.5 ± 4.0 | 10.0 | 44.1 ± 4.4 | 18.7 ^f |
| | 50.0 | 24.1 ± 4.1 | 50.0 | 42.5 ± 4.2 | 23.3^{f} |
| | 250.0 | 46.1 ± 5.3 | 250.0 | 42.7 ± 5.9 | 22.4^f |
| estrone | 0.4 | 50.9 ± 7.2 | 0.4 | 50.9 ± 7.2 | |
| control | | 17.1 ± 2.6 | | 17.1 ± 2.6 | |
| 8 | 2.0 | 14.5 ± 3.6 | 2.0 | 48.2 ± 3.9 | 19.6^{f} |
| | 40.0 | 38.2 ± 7.8 | 40.0 | 54.7 ± 5.3 | 2.6 |
| | 125.0 | 56.0 ± 6.7 | 125.0 | 54.7 ± 3.5 | 2.7 |
| estrone | 0.4 | 55.8 ± 5.6 | 0.4 | 55.8 ± 5.6 | |

^a Dose per animal, administered on three consecutive days sc. ^b Uterus dry weight (mg)/body weight (g) \times 100, determined 24 h after the last injection; mean of 10 animals \pm SD. ^c simultaneous administration of 0.4 μ g of estrone/animal and day. ^dThe U test according to Wilcoxon, modified by Mann and Whitney, was used. ^e Significant (p < 0.05). /Significant (p < 0.01).

surprising that the tested indoloisoquinolines 7a-e, 8, and 9 showed little affinity for the progesterone receptor. However, some derivatives (7a-c, 7b) exhibited affinities for the androgen receptor (Table V). A similar observation was made with 17β-estradiol. 11 The highest RBA value was found for 7c (RBA = 2.5). All compounds possess binding curves parallel to those of the reference hormones, indicating a competitive inhibition.

Endocrine Activity

Six derivatives of acetoxy-5,6-dihydroindoloisoquinolines with relatively high binding affinities for the estrogen receptor (7a-e, 8) were tested for their estrogenicity. In this assay, immature mice received various doses of the drug, and the increase in uterine dry weight was measured. 13 Antagonistic activity was determined by simultaneous administration of test compound and a standard dose of estrone $(0.4 \mu g)$ and calculation of the inhibition of estrone-stimulated uterine growth. The dose of $0.4 \mu g$ was chosen on the basis of the dose-response curve of estrone and is high enough to produce full uterotrophic response.¹⁴

⁽⁷⁾ Schneider, M. R.; von Angerer, E.; Höhn, W.; Sinowatz, F. Eur. J. Cancer Clin. Oncol. 1987, 23, 1005.

⁽⁸⁾ Heyns, W.; Verhoeven, G.; de Moor, P. J. Steroid Biochem. 1976, 7, 987.

⁽⁹⁾ Thompson, T. C.; Chung, L. W. K. Cancer Res. 1984, 44, 1019. (10) Carroll, S. L.; Rowley, D. R.; Chang, C. H.; Tindall, D. J. J.

⁽¹¹⁾ Li, J. J.; Li, S. A.; Cuthbertson, T. L. Cancer Res. 1979, 39, 2647.

⁽¹²⁾ Shain, S. A.; Boesel, R. W. J. Steroid Biochem. 1975, 6, 43. (13) Rubin, B. L.; Dorfman, A. S.; Black, L.; Dorfmann, R. I. Endocrinology (Baltimore) 1951, 49, 429.

Table VII. Inhibitory Effect of Compounds 7a-c on Seminal Vesicle Weight of Intact and Castrated Adult Mice

| <u>_</u> | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|---|-------------|
| compd | dose,α μg | relative seminal vesicle weight ^b | % inhibn° |
| control ^f | | 198.5 ± 45.2 | |
| 7 a | 10 | 195.1 ± 51.6 | 1 |
| | 100 | 144.6 ± 35.4 | 27^e |
| | 1000 | 45.2 ± 8.0 | 77 d |
| $\operatorname{control}^f$ | | 170.0 ± 28.3 | |
| 7 b | 10 | 132.0 ± 49.8 | 22 |
| | 100 | 54.5 ± 14.8 | 67^d |
| | 1000 | 38.3 ± 5.0 | 77ª |
| DES | 100 | 55.0 ± 11.0 | 67^d |
| control ^f | | 198.5 ± 45.2 | |
| 7 c | 100 | 156.1 ± 17.9 | 21e |
| | 1000 | 121.3 ± 22.4 | 39^d |
| standard ^g | | 227.6 ± 39.4 | |
| control ^f | | 211.4 ± 48.4 | |
| 7 b ^g | 1000 | 187.4 ± 27.6 | 17 |
| 7c8 | 1000 | 200.0 ± 27.8 | 12 |

^aDose/animal per day. ^bOrgan weight (mg)/body weight (g) × 100. Mean \pm SD. °% inhibition = $[1 - (treated/control)] \times 100$. ^dSignificant (p < 0.01). ^eSignificant (p < 0.05). ^fIntact control: noncastrated animals. & Castrated animals supplemented with 0.3 mg of TP/animal per day.

All of the compounds tested exhibited estrogenic activity (Table VI), but except for 7a, rather high doses (125-250 μg /animal) had to be administered to equal the effect of the reference drug estrone.

In the antiuterotrophic assay, a weak but significant antagonism was found with the derivatives 7b, 7c, 7e, and

Because of their binding affinities for the androgen receptor, the indoloisoquinolines 7a-c were tested for their ability to decrease the weights of seminal vesicles in intact or castrated, testosterone-supplemented mice. A comparison of results in these two assays allows one to distinguish between a central action on testosterone biosynthesis and a direct antiandrogenic effect in the target organ. A strong reduction of weight was observed only in intact animals, whereas the effect in castrated, testosterone-supplemented animals was neglegible (Table VII). These findings suggest that a central mode of action is likely.

Cytostatic Activity

Because the aim of this study is the development of heterocycles with a selective action on estrogen receptor positive tumors, we determined the activity of a number of indoloisoquinolines with binding affinity for the estrogen receptor on hormone-independent MDA-MB 231 and hormone-dependent MCF-7 mammary tumor cells of human origin. At a concentration of 10^{-5} M, all compounds except 7d and 7e showed a marked cytostatic effect in both cell lines. A possible toxic effect is rather unlikely since the number of survivant MDA cells did not drop below the initial cells number during incubation. At 10-6 M, some of the derivatives (7a-c, 8) inhibited the growth of MCF-7 cells, whereas the activity in MDA-MB 231 cells was low (Table VIII). These compounds possess relatively high binding affinities for the estrogen receptor.

Discussion

The first aim of these investigations was the sythesis of structurally new drugs that bind to the estrogen receptor. We used the tetracyclic indolo [2,1-a] isoquinolines as the basic structure and introduced acetoxy groups into various positions of the aromatic rings and alkyl substituents into their heterocycles. Studies of structure-activity relationships revealed that the best conditions for binding to the estrogen receptor are provided by acetoxy groups in position 3 and 10 and an ethyl group at C-6 (7b, RBA = 17). The comparison of molecular models shows that the distance between the two oxygen functions in 7b is close to that of estradiol. The short alkyl chain in position 6 is probably necessary for hydrophobic interaction between the drug and the receptor protein. Interestingly, the two enantiomers show the same binding affinity for the estrogen receptor after chromatographic separation. Since we assume an axial orientation for the ethyl group from NMR studies, the steric requirements above and below the plane of the tetracycle either must be rather similar, or this part of the receptor site is very flexible. The latter assumption is in accordance with results obtained with benzo[a]carbazole derivatives. 16 Of course, a rotation of the molecule with the oxygens as pivots cannot be ruled out. Studying the specificity of binding we found that some of the derivatives with affinity for the estrogen receptor bind to the androgen receptor as well. This result was unexpected because the lack of selectivity is rather rare in the case of nonsteroidal estrogens.¹⁷ The binding to the androgen receptor is favored when the acetoxy groups are located in positions 3 and 9 instead of 3 and 10 in the case of the estrogen receptor. Conversion of the derivative 7c to the aromatic compound 9 led only to a slight decrease in affinity. The same observation was made in the benzo[a]carbazole series.16

In the mouse uterine weight test, all compounds with relatively high binding affinity for the estrogen receptor produced an estrogenic response at high doses. Some of the derivatives showed weak but significant antiestrogenic effects. The affinity for the androgen receptor of some of the compounds prompted us to look for an antiandrogenic effect. This effect was only observed with intact male mice but not in castrated, testosterone-supplemented animals. Therefore, we assume that the antiandrogenic effect that we observe is due to the antigonatrophic effect generally found with estrogens in male animals.

Since the objective of this work is the development of drugs with a specific cytostatic action on estrogen receptor positive tumors, we compared the effects of the indoloisoquinolines in two different cell lines. One line contains estrogen receptors (MC-7) and the other one lacks them (MDA-MB 231). At a concentration of 10⁻⁵ M, a strong cytostatic effect was observed for all compounds in both cell lines. We assume that the inhibition of cellular growth at concentrations greater 10⁻⁶ M is mainly due to a nonspecific effect. However, at 10^{-6} M, some of the derivatives exert a higher effect on MCF-7 cells than on hormoneindependent MDA-MB 231 cells. Together with the receptor affinity and endocrine activity of these compounds, this observation suggests that a receptor-mediated mode of action is likely. The mode of the nonspecific cytostatic action can possibly be an intercalation of the indoloisoquinolines into DNA as described for other tetracyclic structures.² Further studies will be carried out to elucidate this effect.

Experimental Section

Melting points were determined on a Büchi 510 apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by the Mikroanalytisches Laboratorium, University of Regensburg, and

Kranzfelder, G.; Schneider, M.; von Angerer, E.; Schönenberger, H. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 1980, 97, 167.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Mak, C. P.; Brossi, A. Heterocycles 1979, 12, 1413.

⁽¹⁶⁾ von Angerer, E.; Prekajac, J. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 380.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Raynaud, J. P.; Ojasoo, T.; Bouton, M. m.; Philibert, D. In Drug Design; Ariens, E. J., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1979; Vol. VIII.

Table VIII. Effect of 7a-e, 8, and 9 on the Growth of MDA-MB 231 and MCF-7 Cells

| | | Ml | DA-MB 231 | | MCF-7 |
|------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| compd | conen, M | cell no.: % T/C ^a | [³ H]thymidine incorp: % T/C ^a | cell no.: % T/C ^a | [³ H]thymidine incorp: % T/C ^a |
| 7a | 1×10^{-5} | 5 | 5 | 7 | 2 |
| | 5×10^{-6} | 10 | 10 | ND^d | ND |
| | 1×10^{-6} | 78 | 65 | 55 | 36 |
| 7b | 1×10^{-5} | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 |
| | 5×10^{-6} | 4 | 4 | 10 | 4 |
| | 1×10^{-6} | 74 | 45 | 45 | 25 |
| | 1×10^{-7} | ND | ND | 80 | 63 |
| 7c | 1×10^{-5} | 11 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| | 5×10^{-6} | 11 | 11 | 39 | 24 |
| | 1×10^{-6} | 98° | 93° | 67 | 42 |
| 7 d | 1×10^{-5} | 51 | 38 | 22 | 3 |
| | 5×10^{-6} | 62 | 35 | 39 | 11 |
| | 1×10^{-6} | 100 | 77 | 89 ^b | 95° |
| 7e | 1×10^{-5} | 4 5 | 25 | 31 | 7 |
| | 5×10^{-6} | 88 ^b | 90 ^b | 97^c | 95^c |
| 8 | 1×10^{-5} | 14 | 7 | 13 | 3 |
| | 1×10^{-6} | 75 | 65 | 55 | 41 |
| 9 | 1×10^{-5} | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| | 5×10^{-6} | 8 | 7 | ND | ND |
| | 1×10^{-6} | 65 | 80 | 75 | 70 |
| tamoxifen | 1×10^{-5} | 94^c | 97^{c} | 17 | 5 |
| | 1×10^{-6} | 107^c | 100° | 51 | 46 |

^a % T/C = test compound/control, \times 100; mean of three experiments with six dishes. Significant inhibition (p < 0.01), except where noted. ^b Significant (p < 0.05). ^c Not significant. ^d ND = not determined.

were within $\pm 0.40\%$ of the calculated values except where noted. NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian EM 306L and a Bruker WM250 spectrometer and are consistent with the assigned structures.

2-Amino-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)butane (1b). 3-Methoxybenzaldehyde (82 g, 0.6 mol), nitropropane (53 g, 0.6 mol), anhydrous NaOAc (50 g, 0.6 mol), methylammonium chloride (40 g, 0.6 mol), and trimethyl orthoformate (64 g, 0.6 mol) in 700 mL of dry MeOH were refluxed for 5 h. After cooling, the mixture was poured into ice water and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was chromatographed over SiO₂ with CH₂Cl₂ to give yellow crystals (68.3 g, 55%). The 1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-nitrobut-1-ene (0.11 mol) was dissolved in dry ether (450 mL) and dry THF (120 mL); LiAlH₄ (0.2 mol) was added slowly at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to come to room temperature and was stirred for 4 h. The excess LiAlH₄ was destroyed by the addition of a NH₄Cl solution. The precipitate was filtered and washed with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvent was evaporated. The resulting oil was used without further purification (55%): IR (film) 3380, 3300 (NH) cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.97 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.36–3.03 (m, 5 H, -CH-, -CH₂-), 1.53 (s, 2 H, NH₂), 3.87 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 6.77-7.35 (m, 4 H, ArH).

2-Amino-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)butane (1c). Compound **1c** was prepared in the same way as **1b**: colorless oil; IR (film) 3380, 3300 (NH) cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.97 (t, J = Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.27–3.23 (m, 7 H, -CH₋, -CH₂-, NH₂), 3.91 (s, 6 H, OCH₃), 6.80–7.03 (m, 3 H, ArH).

2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-1-nitroethane. 3-Methoxy- β -nitrostyrene⁵ (20 g, 110 mmol) in 185 mL of dioxane was added to a suspension of NaBH₄ (9.4 g, 0.25 mol) in dioxane (185 mL) and EtOH (60 mL) within 20 min at 30 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 45 min at room temperature and then treated with 50 mL of ice water and 50% acetic acid. After evaporation of the organic solvent, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with water and saline and dried (Na₂SO₄). After evaporation, the residue was chromatographed (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂) to give a colorless oil (19.5 g, 95%): IR (film) 1550, 1350 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.30 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2 H, -CH₂-), 3.86 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.66 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2 H, -CH₂-), 6.80–6.95 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.20–7.32 (m, 1 H, ArH). Anal. (C₁₁H₁₅NO₃) C, H.

2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-1-nitrobutane. 2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-1-nitroethane (11 g, 60 mmol) was dissolved in 360 mL of dry THF and DMPU (N,N'-dimethyl-N,N'-propyleneurea) (60 mL) was added. n-BuLi (52 mL, 120 mmol, 2.3 M in hexane) was added under N_2 at -70 °C within 30 min. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C. Then EtI (4.9 mL, 60 mmol)

was added and the mixture was allowed to come to -30 °C within 4 h. After cooling to -70 °C, acetic acid (30 mL) was added. The mixture was poured into 500 mL of water, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether. After drying (Na₂SO₄) and evaporation of the solvent, the residue was chromatographed (SiO₂, hexane/ether 3:1) to give a colorless oil (5.6 g, 42%): NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.87 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.71 (quin, J = 7 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 3.32 (quin, J = 7 Hz, 1 H, -CH-), 3.80 (s, 3 H OCH₃), 4.55 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2 H, -CH₂-), 6.73-6.90 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.14-7.38 (m, 1 H, ArH).

1-Amino-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)butane (1d). 2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-1-nitrobutane (20.8 g, 0.1 mol) in dry ether (100 mL) was added to a suspension of LiAlH₄ (7.6 g, 0.2 mol) in refluxing dry ether (170 mL). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 1 h. After cooling and the usual workup, the resulting oil was chromatographed (SiO₂, EtOAc). The yield of the colorless oil was 7.1 g (40%): IR (film) 3380, 3300 (NH) cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.83 (t, J=7 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.40–2.10 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₃, NH₂), 2.45 (q, J=7 Hz, 1 H, -CH-), 2.75–3.26 (m, 2 H, -CH₂-), 6.73–6.90 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.14–7.38 (m, 1 H, ArH).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of the Acetamides 3a-e. A flask containing (methoxyphenyl)ethylamine 1 (0.75 mol) and methyl bromophenylacetate 2 (0.75 mol) was placed into a hot-oil bath. The temperature was kept at 150–155 °C for 10 h. After cooling to 35 °C, 10 mL of EtOAc was added with stirring. The product that crystallized at 4 °C was filtered and washed with ether. Recrystallization from EtOAc yielded colorless crystals. Melting points and yields and reported in Table I.

Compounds 3f-1 have been described previously.3

General Procedure for the Synthesis of the 1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines 4a—e. A mixture of acetamide 3 (55 mmol), POCl₃ (20 mL), and dry MeCN (75 mL) was refluxed for 4 h. With cooling, 150 mL of 20% NaOH solution was added. The mixture was poured into ice water and extracted with CHCl₃. The CHCl₃ solution was extracted with 150 mL of 2 N HCl. The free base was liberated with 20% NaOH and extracted with CHCl₃. The organic layer was washed with water and saline and dried (Na₂SO₄). The 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines obtained after evaporation of the solvent were used without further purification.

NaBH₄ (4.2 g, 0.11 mol) was added slowly to a solution of the 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (13.4 mmol) in 100 mL of MeOH and 15 mL of water at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. After the solvent had been removed, the residue was treated with 100 mL of water and extracted with CHCl₃. The organic layer was washed with water and dried (Na₂SO₄). After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified by chromatography (SiO₂, CHCl₃/ether 1:1) to give a colorless oil. Melting

points and yields are reported in Table II.

Compounds 4f-1 have been described previously.3

General Procedure for the Ring Closure of the Bromotetrahydrobenzylisoquinolines to the 5,6-Dihydroindolo-[2,1-a]isoquinolines 5a-e. A solution of bromotetrahydroisoquinoline 4 (10 mmol) in 40 mL of DMSO was added to a solution of sodium methylsulfinylmethanide [prepared from 2.1 g (70 mmol) of NaH (80% in oil dispersion) and 40 mL of DMSO]. After stirring had been continued for 15 h, the mixture was poured into 400 mL of water containing an excess of NH₄Cl, followed by extraction with CHCl3. The organic layer was washed with water and saline. After drying (Na_2SO_4) and evaporation of the solvent, an oil was obtained, which was chromatographed (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂). The first fraction $(R_f \ 0.7)$ contained the dihydroindoloisoquinolines. Recrystallization from EtOH yielded colorless crystals. Melting points and yields are reported in Table III.

Compounds 5f-1 have been described previously.3

6-Ethyl-12-formyl-5,6-dihydro-3,10-dimethoxyindolo[2,1a lisoquinoline (6). Dry DMF (0.6 mL) was added slowly to POCl₃ (0.9 mL) at 10-20 °C under N₂. The mixture was stirred for 5 min at this temperature. 5b (1.0 mmol) dissolved in 5 mL of dry DMF, was added slowly to keep the temperature below 35 °C. After stirring for 30 min at 35 °C, the reaction mixture was poured into 30 mL of ice water. The aqueous layer was basified (NaOH) and extracted with CHCl₃. After washing with water and drying (Na₂SO₄), the solvent was removed in vacuo. The product was recrystallized from MeOH to give slightly yellow crystals (2.0 g, 58%): mp 201 °C; IR (KBr) 1650 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.80 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.59 (mc, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 3.00 (d,, $J_{1,2}$ = 16, 2 Hz, 1 H, CHH), 3.40 (dd, $J_{1,2}$ = 16, 6 Hz, 1 H, CHH) 3.90 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.00 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.50 (mc, 1 H, -CH-), 6.90-7.00 (m, 2 H, arH), 7.10 (d, J=2 Hz, 1 H, ArH), $7.30 \, (d, J = 9 \, Hz, 1 \, H, ArH), 7.90 \, (d, J = 9 \, Hz, 1 \, H, ArH), 8.00$ (s, 1 H, ArH), 10.60 (s, 1 H, CHO). Anal. (C₂₁H₂₁NO₃) C, H, N.

General Procedure for Ether Cleavage and Acetylation. A solution of the methoxy-substituted indoloisoquinoline 5 (0.03 mol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was cooled to -15 °C and BBr₃ (0.1 mL) was added under N2. After 30 min, the cooling bath was removed and the mixture was stirred for 15 h. With cooling, the mixture was poured into 10 mL of an aqueous solution of NaHCO₃. Then, 25 mL of EtOAc was added and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with saline and dried (Na₂SO₄). After the solvent was removed, the residue was treated with Ac₂O (6 mL) and pyridine (1 mL). After refluxing for 2 h, the mixture was poured onto ice, stirred for 10 min, and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with water and dried (Na₂SO₄). After evaporation, the remaining residue was chromatographed (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂). Recrystallization from MeOH yielded 7 as colorless crystals. Melting points and yields are reported in Table IV.

Chromatographic Separation of the Enantiomers of 7b. The enantiomers of 7b were separated semipreparatively by HPLC on tribenzoylcellulose (5-19 μ m)¹⁸ with MeOH as eluent at 22 °C. They were characterized by their capacity factors: $k_{-} = 6.6$; k_{+} = 10.0. The (-)-enantiomer was characterized by its melting point of 157.5-159 °C, its specific rotations of $-320^{\circ} \pm 140^{\circ}$ (365 nm) and -57° ± 31° (436 nm) in EtOH, and HPLC analysis giving an enantiomeric purity close to 100%. The amount of the (+)-enantiomer isolated was too small to measure its specific rotation. The melting point was 152-155 °C; the purity was determined by HPLC.

3,10-Diacetoxy-6-ethyl-12-formyl-5,6-dihydroindolo[2,1a lisoquinoline (8). Compound 8 was prepared from 6 by ether cleavage and acetylation: colorless crystals; mp 168 °C; IR (KBr) 1770 (OCOCH₃), 1650 (CO) cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.93 (t, J =7 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.60 (mc, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 2.34 (s, 6 H, OCH₃), 3.03 (dd, $J_{1,2}$ = 16, 2 Hz, 1 H, CHH), 3.40 (dd, $J_{1,2}$ = 16, 6 Hz, 1 H, CHH), 4.55 (m, 1 H, -CH-), 7.00-7.25 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.37 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 8.00 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 8.17 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 8.17 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1 H, ArH) $J = 2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ArH}), 10.50 \text{ (s, 1 H, CHO)}. \text{ Anal. } (C_{22}H_{21}NO_5 H_2O)$ C, H, N.

3,9-Diacetoxy-6-ethylindolo[2,1-a]isoquinoline (9). Compound 7c (1.3 mmol) and Pd/C 10% (150 mg) were mixed thoroughly in an agate mortar. This and all of the following operations were carried out under N2. A flask containing the mixture was placed in an oil bath at 120 °C. The mixture was kept at this temperature for 30 min. After stirring with a spatula, heating was continued for 30 min. After cooling, the mixture was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and filtered. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was chromatographed (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂). Recrystallization from MeOH afforded yellow-green crystals (0.7 g, 15%): mp 154 °C; IR (KBr) 1770 (CO) cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz) $\delta 1.52$ (t, J = 7 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 2.35 (s, 3 H, OCOCH₃), 2.37 (s, 3 H, OCOCH₃), 3.31 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2 H, CH_2CH_3), 6.45 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.10 (dd, $J_{1,2}$ = 8.6, 1.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.17 (dd, $J_{1,2}$ = 8.6, 2.3 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.25 (mc, 2 H, ArH), 7.79 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.83 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 8.13 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1 H, ArH). Anal. (C₂₂H₁₉NO₄) C, H, N.

Biochemical and Biological Methods. [2,4,6,7-3H]Estradiol (110 Ci/mmol) and [3H]thymidine (80 Ci/mmol) were obtained from New England Nuclear, Dreieich, FRG. Hormones and biochemicals were purchased from Sigma, München, FRG. [3H]Mibolerone and [3H]Org 2058 were obtained from Amersham, Braunschweig, FRG. The purity of radiolabeled hormones was determined by HPLC followed by liquid scintillation counting

Binding Affinities for Steroid Hormone Receptors. ER4-, AR19- and PR20-affinities were determined with calf uterine cytosol as receptor source. Fresh calf uteri, stored in ice-cold saline, were freed of adherent fat and connective tissue at 4 °C. After addition of the relevant buffer (10 mM Tris, 1.5 mM EDTA, 3 mM NaN₃, pH 7.4, for ER; 10 mM Tris, 1.5 mM EDTA, 20 mM Na₂MoO₄, glycerol 10%, pH 7.4, for Ar; 10 mM Tris, 1.5 mM EDTA, 0.25 M sucrose, pH 7.4, for PR) the uteri were homogenized by treatment with a ultraturrax mixer (IKA, FRG) and a glass-in-glass homogenizer (Potter S: Braun, FRG) at 4 °C. Lipids were separated by centrifugation at 700g and discarded. The homogenate was centrifuged at 105000g for 100 min (0 °C). The supernatant (cytosol) was then used for determining the affinity of compounds for the receptor. The protein concentration of the cytosol was ca. 15 mg/mL, leading to a final concentration of 3 mg/mL in the assay. Relative binding affinities were determined by the dextran-coated charcoal method: 100-µL aliquots of the cytosol were incubated with 100 μ L (1 nM) of [³H]estradiol (ER), [3H]mibolerone (AR), or [3H]Org 2058 (PR) and different concentrations of the test compounds at 0-4 °C for 16 h (ER) or 2 h (AR, PR). Nonspecific radioligand binding was determined by a parallel incubation containing 2 µM of estradiol (ER), testosterone (AR), or progesterone (PR). After incubation, a dextran-coated-charcoal suspension (0.625% dextran 80.000, 1.25% Norit A in the relevant buffer) was added and the mixture was shaken for 90 min (ER) or 10 min (AR, PR) at 0-4 °C. After centrifugation for 10 min at 800g, the radioactivity of a 100-µL supernatant aliquot was counted. The percentage of bound radioligand was plotted against the concentration of unlabeled test compounds. A standard curve for unlabeled estradiol, testosterone, or progesterone was included in each assay. Four to six concentrations of each competitor were tested. They were chosen to provide a linear portion on a semilogarhythmic plot crossing the point of 50% competition. The RBA was calculated as the ratio of the molar concentrations of hormone and test compound required to decrease the amount of bound radioactivity by 50%, multiplied by 100.

Immature Mice Uterine Weight Test. Immature female mice (20 days old, of the NMRI strain) from Ivanovas, Kisslegg, FRG, were randomly divided into groups of 6-10 animals. To determine estrogenic activity, compounds were dissolved in polyethylene glycol/0.9% saline (1:1; 100 µL/animal) and injected subcutaneously on three consecutive days. Control animals received the vehicle alone. Twenty-four hours after the last injection, the animals were killed by cervical dislocation and weighed. Uteri were dissected free of fat and fixed in Bouin solution (saturated

⁽¹⁸⁾ Rimböck, K.-H.; Kastner, F.; Mannschreck, A. J. Chromatogr. 1**986**, *351*, 346.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Wagner, R. K.; Görlich, L.; Jungblut, P. W. Hoppe Seyler's Z.

Physiol. Chem. 1972, 353, 1654. Theofan, G.; Notides, A. C. Endocrinology (Baltimore) 1984, 114, 1173.

aqueous picric acid/40% formaldehyde/glacial acetic acid 15:5:1 by vol) for 2 h. Uteri were freed from connective tissue, washed with saturated alcoholic solution of LiCl, dried at 100 °C for 24 h, and weighed. The uterotrophic effect was calculated by the following formula: uterine dry weight (mg)/body weight (g), multiplied by 100.

To determine the antiestrogenic activity, injections contained a standard dose (0.4 μ g) of estrone and increasing doses of the compounds. The inhibition (%) of the estrone-stimulated uterine growth was estimated by the following formula: [100 – [$E_{\rm S,T}$ – $E_{\rm V}$)/[$E_{\rm S}$ – $E_{\rm V}$]] × 100 ($E_{\rm S}$ = effect of estrone standard; $E_{\rm S,T}$ = effect of standard with simultaneous administration of test compound; $E_{\rm V}$ = effect of vehicle).

Inhibitory Effect on the Seminal Vesicle Weight of Mice. For these experiments, intact or castrated adult male mice (23–25 g at beginning of test, six mice/group, from Ivanovas, Kissleg, FRG) were used. In the experiments with castrated mice, animals were castrated via the scrotal route under ether anaesthesia 5 days before the start of the experiment. A daily dose of 0.3 mg of testosterone propionate (TP) was used to restore accessory sex organ weights in castrated animals to intact levels. Intact and castrated mice were injected with the test compounds dissolved in olive oil for nine consecutive days. Twenty-four hours after the last injection, the mice were killed by cervical dislocation. Seminal vesicles were removed, dissected free from adhering fat and tissue, fixed in Bouin solution, dried overnight at 100 °C, and weighed.

MCF-7 Human Breast Cancer Cells. The MCF-7 cell line was kindly provided by Dr. M. E. Lippman, NCI, Bethesda, MD. Cells were grown in improved minimal essential medium (MEM), as modified by Richter et al.²² (Biochrom, Berlin, FRG), supplemented with glutamine (0.3 g/L), gentamycin (60 mg/L), and 5% newborn calf serum (NCS) (Gibco) or charcoal-treated NCS (CCS). CCS was prepared by incubation of 500 mL of NCS with a dextran-coated-charcoal pellet²³ for 4 h in a shaker at 0–4 °C. The procedure was repeated with a fresh pellet. After each incubation, the charcoal was removed by centrifugation. The serum was filtered through a 0.20-μm filter (Sartorius, Göttingen, FRG) and stored at -20 °C.

Cells were grown in a humified incubator in 5% CO $_2$ at 37 °C. Two weeks before start of the experiment, cells were switched from NCS to CCS and received two additional media changes before they were harvested with 0.05% trypsin/0.02% EDTA in 0.15 M NaCl. They were syringed gently to prevent clumping, and approximately 2 \times 10⁴ cells in 2 mL were plated replicately

in six-well dishes (Costar). One day later, cells were switched to a medium containing the substances and 0.1% DMSO in which the compounds had been dissolved. The medium of control wells contained an equal volume of DMSO. On the 4th day, media were changed and substances were added again. Three days later, cells were labeled with 1 μ Ci [3 H]thymidine per well for 2 h. Cells were washed with cold PBS and harvested in PBS containing 0.02% EDTA. After centrifugation, the cell pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of PBS and divided into two equal parts. One part was counted in a ZM Coulter counter; the other one was sonicated. After addition of 4 mL of 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA), the acid-insoluble fraction was collected on a 0.45- μ m filter (Sartorius) and counted after addition of 10 mL of scintillation liquid (Quickszint 212, Zinsser) in a LS 1801 Beckman scintillation counter.

MDA-MB 231 Human Breast Cancer Cells. The MDA-MB 231 cell line was also generously provided by Dr. M. E. Lippman. Cells were grown in McCoy 5a medium (Boehringer Mannheim, FRG) supplemented with 10% NCS and gentamycin (40 μ g/mL). The experiments were performed as described for the MCF-7 cells with one exception: the incubation period was reduced from 5 to 2 days.

Acknowledgment. The authors wish to thank Prof. W. Wiegrebe (University of Regensburg) for support, Prof. A. Mannschreck and F. Kastner (University of Regensburg) for the HPLC separation of the enantiomers, C. Braun, M. Beer, and R. Brunner-Ploss for technical assistance, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grant SFB 234) for financial support.

Registry No. 1a, 17862-91-8; **1b**, 35149-78-1; **1c**, 123406-73-5; 1d, 123312-25-4; 2a, 117565-90-9; 2b, 19626-36-9; 3a, 123312-26-5; 3b, 123312-27-6; 3c, 123312-28-7; 3d, 123312-29-8; 3e, 123312-30-1; 4a, 123312-31-2; 4b, 123312-32-3; 4c, 123312-33-4; 4d, 123312-34-5; $\textbf{4e},\,123312\text{-}35\text{-}6;\,\textbf{5a},\,123312\text{-}36\text{-}7;\,\textbf{5b},\,123312\text{-}37\text{-}8;\,\textbf{5c},\,123312\text{-}38\text{-}9;$ 5d, 123312-39-0; 5e, 123312-40-3; 5f, 117565-94-3; 5g, 117566-06-0; **5h**, 117566-07-1; **5i**, 117566-08-2; **5k**, 117566-09-3; **6**, 123330-35-8; 7a, 123312-41-4; 7b, 123312-42-5; (\mp)-7b, 123312-43-6; (\pm)-7b, 123312-44-7; **7c**, 123312-45-8; **7d**, 123330-36-9; **7e**, 123312-46-9; 7f, 123312-47-0; 7g, 123312-48-1; 7h, 123312-49-2; 7i, 123312-50-5; 7k, 123312-51-6; 7l, 73053-74-4; 8, 123330-37-0; 9, 123330-38-1; 3-methoxybenzaldehyde, 591-31-1; nitropropane, 25322-01-4; 1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-nitrobut-1-ene, 23037-57-2; 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde, 120-14-9; 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-nitroethane, 123312-23-2; 3-methoxy-β-nitrostyrene, 3179-09-7; 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-nitrobutane, 123312-24-3.

Supplementary Material Available: ¹H NMR data of acetamides 3a-e, 1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines 4a-e, 5,6-dihydroindolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines 5a-e, and acetoxy-5,6-dihydroindolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines 7a-k (5 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

⁽²¹⁾ Schneider, M. R.; Hartmann, R. W.; Sinowatz, F.; Amselgruber, W. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 1986, 112, 258.

⁽²²⁾ Richter, A.; Sandford, K. K.; Evans, V. J. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (U.S.A.) 1972, 49, 1705.

⁽²³⁾ Scholl, S. M.; Huff, K. K.; Lippman, M. E. Endocrinology (Baltimore) 1983, 113, 611.